

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE SAFETY OF THREE TRIVALENT INACTIVATED VACCINES IN GILTS

Boix, O; Camprodon, A*; Sánchez-Matamoros, A.

*Corresponding author (agusti.camprodon@hipra.com)

HIPRA, Amer (Girona), Spain



The Reference
in Prevention
for Animal Health

www.hipra.com

BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Vaccination against Swine Erysipelas, Porcine Parvovirus and *Leptospira* infections in sows during lactation is part of the infertility prevention plan on pig breeding farms¹⁻³. The safety of reproductive vaccines is a concern, because the risk of post-inoculation side effects during this phase may affect the sow's milk production and consequently, the piglets' performance.

The aim of this study was to compare the safety of three different commercial trivalent vaccines against these reproductive diseases in sows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Safety was assessed in 4 groups (G1-G4) of 10 gilts each. G1-G3 were injected intramuscularly with vaccine A (ERYSENG® PARVO/LEPTO), vaccine B or vaccine C, respectively. The vaccines were administered twice (V1 and V2) three weeks apart, following the manufacturer's instructions. The control group (G4) received PBS. Safety was evaluated by recording rectal temperatures (RT) and systemic and local reactions after each shot.

RESULTS

The highest mean RT values were recorded at 6 hours post-vaccination (hpv). They exceeded the physiological range (>40°C) in G2 and G3, and were significantly higher than G1 and G4 ($p<0.05$). At this point, $\geq 80\%$ of sows in G2 and 100% in G3 showed a substantial increase in RT (>40°C) after each shot.




Figure 1. Mean RT (°C) after V1 and V2. Different superscripts show statistically significant differences (Mann-Whitney U test; $p<0.05$).

Similarly, the rise in RT over the basal RT (pre-vaccination) in G2 and G3 at 6 hpv, showed a statistically significant increase compared to G1 and G4 ($p<0.05$). No differences were observed between G1 and G4 at 6 hpv (V1).




Figure 2. Individual RT (dots) and mean RT (bars) at 6 hpv after V1 and V2. Different superscripts show statistically significant differences (Mann-Whitney U test; $p<0.05$).

As regards local reactions after injection, G3 had a larger number of affected animals (> 80.0%) and longer lasting local reactions (>72 hpv) than G4 ($p<0.05$). No significant differences between G1 and G2 were observed in comparison with G4. Two sows in G3 showed depression at 6 and 24 hpv after the booster (V2).

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

This study reveals significant differences between widely used reproductive vaccines in sows in terms of post-injection reactions when used under field conditions. The data presented show the optimum performance obtained with the new vaccine ERYSENG® PARVO/LEPTO, which achieved better safety levels than its competitors.

These differences may be important during the lactation period because they could trigger lower feed intake by the sow, affect the sow's milk production and consequently result in a lower weight gain by the piglets.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank UCAM, CEYC and DIAGNOS staff from HIPRA for their technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- ¹Adler B and De la Peña Moctezuma A, 2010, *Vet Microbiol.*, 140:292-4.
- ²Mengeling WL *et al.*, 1979 *Am J Vet Res.*, 40(2):204-7.
- ³Haesebrouck F *et al.*, 2004, *Vet Microbiol.*, 100:260-1.